

**ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH  
AHERA THREE YEAR REINSPECTION  
ASBESTOS PROGRAM  
SCHOOL INFORMATION FORM**

## **THREE-YEAR REINSPECTION**

Unit:  
Building ID:

IDPH ID Number:

**REPORT DATE:**

**Prepared for:**  
**Chicago Public Schools**  
**42 W. Madison Street**  
**Chicago, IL 60602**

**Prepared by:**  
**Carnow, Conibear and Associates**

600 West Van Buren St., Ste 500

Chicago, IL, 60607

Phone (312) 762-2900

Fax (312) 782-5145

**DO NOT REMOVE FROM SCHOOL**  
**REQUIRED BY FEDERAL LAW**

Mr. Eric Culbertson  
Asbestos Program  
Illinois Department of Public Health  
525 West Jefferson Street  
Springfield, Illinois 62761

Re: Chicago Public Schools - Three-Year Reinspections

Dear Mr.Culbertson:

Carnow, Conibear and Associates, Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC), conducted the Three-Year Reinspections and performed management plan updates for the Chicago Public Schools (CPS) facilities in Region 3, 4 Elementary Schools. Please update your records with the following information.

School District: 299	Unit:	Region: 04	IDPH ID:
School:			Building ID:
Address:			

Building Contact: Kennedy, Michael

Contact Phone: 7087520719

Current Building Owners: Chicago Public Schools

Reinspection Date:

Review Date:

Inspector: \_\_\_\_\_ Inspector IDPH License: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Management Planner: \_\_\_\_\_ Management Planner IDPH License: \_\_\_\_\_

If you have any questions or comments, please contact us at (312) 762-2900

Sincerely,  
Carnow, Conibear and Associates

Michael Serra

## **Environmental Notification to Occupants**

To: Faculty, Staff and Parents  
From: Chicago Public Schools  
Date:

RE: , Unit

Dear Faculty, Staff and Parents,

This letter is to notify you that the asbestos three year re-inspection has been completed at , following the Federal Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA), 40 CFR Part 763, Subpart E and is available for your review at the main office of the school.

Although asbestos-containing building materials have been identified at , there is no reason to believe that any threat to the health of students or staff exists at this time. CPS will continue to carefully monitor the condition of asbestos-containing building materials and if conditions warrant, all appropriate steps will be taken to maintain the health and safety of all building occupants.

If you have any questions regarding this matter or require additional information, please feel free to contact , the designated Local Education Authority's Designated Person at .

## **Table of Contents**

- I. School and Inspection Information
- II. Executive Summary
- III. Methodology
- IV. Abatement History
- V. Reassessments and Recommendations
- VI. Conclusions

Table I: Inspector's Reinspection Findings

Table II: Management Planner's Review

### **APPENDICES:**

- Appendix A: Assessment Sheets, Drawings and Photos
- Appendix B: Inspector and Management Planner Licenses
- Appendix C: Laboratory Accreditations
- Appendix D: Laboratory Results
- Appendix E: Chain of Custody Forms

## SCHOOL AND INSPECTION INFORMATION

### 1. School Information

School: \_\_\_\_\_ Unit: \_\_\_\_\_ Region: 04  
Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
IDPH ID: \_\_\_\_\_ Building ID: \_\_\_\_\_  
Contact: Kennedy, Michael Phone: 7087520719

### 2. Description of Facility

Original Construction: 1913 Additional Construction:  
Total Square Footage: 41382 No of Floors: 3  
Current Occupancy: \_\_\_\_\_

### 3. LEA Designated Person

Contact: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: 42 West Madison Street  
Chicago, IL 60602


### 4. Managing Environmental Consultant

MEC: Carnow, Conibear and Associates  
Contact: Doug McCormick  
Address 600 West Van Buren St., Ste 500  
Chicago, IL, 60607  
Phone: (312) 762-2900 Fax: (312) 782-5145

### 5. Inspector

Inspector Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Inspector IDPH license #  
Reinspection Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature:   
Date: \_\_\_\_\_

### 6. Management Planner

Management Planner Name: \_\_\_\_\_


Management Planner IDPH license #

Signature:   
Date: \_\_\_\_\_

### 7. Review Date:

### 8. LEA Designated Person's Acknowledgement

The reinspection report and recommendations have been received by me and appropriate action will be taken by the School District.

Signature:   
Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Unit :

Building:

## II. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

was retained by the Chicago Public Schools (CPS) to perform a three-year asbestos reinspection of the . This inspection was conducted in accordance with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA) part 763.85 (b), and the ongoing Operations and Maintenance Program (O&M) originally designed in the School's Asbestos Management Plan. The purpose of this three-year reinspection is to record any condition changes in the asbestos-containing building material (ACBM) in the school since the previous three-year reinspection and the six-month periodic surveillance, to identify, assess, and include any Homogeneous Areas (HA) not identified in the Management Plan, and to recommend an appropriate response action to manage asbestos.

The inspector conducted a three-year reinspection of this facility under Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) school reinspection requirements and AHERA, sections 763.85 and 763.88. The main building and each addition to the main building, if constructed at different dates, were inspected separately. Laboratory accreditations are included in Appendix C, laboratory results are included in Appendix D, and chain of custody forms are included in Appendix E.

Note: During previous inspections, some of the HA(s) were identified together as 9" x 9" floor tile (FT), mastics assoc. with 9" x 9" FT, 12" x 12" FT, mastics assoc. with 12" x 12" FT, pipe insulation, etc. Some of these HA(s) have been re-identified by areas that are uniform in color, texture, construction date, application date, and general appearance.

The inspector has determined the following:

A. The following HAs have changed assessment categories for Building :

B. The following new homogenous areas have been identified for Building :



C. This reinspection covered only physically accessible and visible areas and materials that were identified in the LEA's management plan. The following materials were concealed and/or contained in areas that were inaccessible for sampling and have been classified as Assumed:

The following areas were deemed to be inaccessible:

Materials were also listed as "assumed" if they were in good condition and sampling was not conducted to avoid damage.

This reinspection was conducted by , IDPH License # . The Management Plan was updated by , IDPH License # . Inspector and Management Planner Licenses are included in Appendix B.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.



### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.



### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.



### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.



### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

**Table I**  
**Inspector's Reinspection Findings**

---

# Chicago Public Schools

**School** Canter Middle School **Unit** 46361 **Building ID** 4260  
**Address** 4959 S. Blackstone **Region** 04

## ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Inspector's Reinspection Findings Table 1

**Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC)** Carnow, Conibear and Associates

600 West Van Buren St., Ste 500 Chicago, IL, 60607

Phone: (312) 762-2900 Fax: (312) 782-5145

*Inspector's Comments are Summarized at the End of the Report*

---

HA No	Material Description	Material Quantity	Material Units	Material Location	Asbestos Type	Material Category	Friable	Damage Type	Damage Quantity	Damage Units	Change in Assessment Category	Damage Category	Damage Reason	Disturbance Potential
-------	----------------------	-------------------	----------------	-------------------	---------------	-------------------	---------	-------------	-----------------	--------------	-------------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-----------------------

# Chicago Public Schools

**School** Canter Middle School **Unit** 46361 **Building ID** 4260  
**Address** 4959 S. Blackstone **Region** 04

## ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Inspector's Reinspection Findings Table 1

**Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC)** Carnow, Conibear and Associates

600 West Van Buren St., Ste 500 Chicago, IL, 60607

Phone: (312) 762-2900 Fax: (312) 782-5145

*Inspector's Comments are Summarized at the End of the Report*

Reinspection Date <b><u>3/20/2025</u></b>
Inspector Name <b><u>Cody Cummins</u></b>
<b><u>100-209945/15/2026</u></b>
Inspector's IDPH License Number / Expiration Date

### Inspector's Comments

<b>HA Number:</b>	<b>Inspector Comments:</b>
-------------------	----------------------------



**Table II**

**Management Planner's Review**

---

# Chicago Public Schools

School   Canter Middle School

Unit    46361

Building ID   4260

Address   4959 S. Blackstone

Chicago, IL, 60615

Region   04

## ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Management Planner's Review Table II

Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC)   Carnow, Conibear and Associates

600 West Van Buren St., Ste 500  
Chicago, Il, 60607

Phone:   (312) 762-2900

Fax:   (312) 782-5145

### Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report

HA Num	Material Description	Material Quantity	Material Units	Material Location	Asbestos Type	Material Category	Friable	Damage Quantity	Damage Units	Damage Category	Response
	2' x 4' Wormed and Pinholed Lay-in Ceiling Panels			Throughout Facility	No ACBM	MISC					
	12" x 12" Gray Floor Tile w/ White Streaks			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	12" x 12" Gray Floor Tile w/ White Streaks Mastic			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	12" x 12" Green Floor Tile w/ Dark Grn & White Streaks (12" x 12" Blue, White, Gray Floor Tile)			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	12" x12" Grn Floor Tile w/ Dark Grn & White Streaks Mastic			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	12" x 12" Light Green Floor Tile w/ White Streaks			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	12" x12" Light Green Floor Tile w/ White Streaks Mastic			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	12" x 12" Beige Floor Tile w/ Dark & Light Brown Flecks			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	12" x 12" Beige Floor Tile w/ Dark & Light Brown Flks Mastic			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	12" x 12" Brown Floor Tile w/ Dark & Light Brown & White Flecks			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	12" x 12" Brown Floor Tile w/ Dark& Light Brown & White Flks Mastic			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	Incinerator Brick			Boiler Room	No ACBM	MISC					
	9" x 9" Black Floor Tile w/ White Specks			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	9" x 9" Black Floor Tile w/ White Specks Mastic			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	9" x 9" Tan Floor Tile			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	9" x 9" Tan Floor Tile Mastic			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	Carpet Mastic			Throughout 1937 Building	No ACBM	MISC					
	Carpet Mastic			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	Plaster			Throughout 1937 Building	No ACBM	SURFACE					
	Plaster			Throughout 1911 Building	No ACBM	SURFACE					
	Exterior Window Caulk - Non-AHERA			1937 Building	Chrysotile	MISC					Follow O&M Plan
	Exterior Window Caulk - Non-AHERA			1911 Building	Chrysotile	MISC					Follow O&M Plan
	Incinerator Brick Mortar			Boiler Room	No ACBM	MISC					
	Exterior Window Glazing - Non-AHERA			1937 Building	Chrysotile	MISC					Follow O&M Plan
	Exterior Window Glazing - Non-AHERA			1911 Building	Chrysotile	MISC					Follow O&M Plan
	Boiler Brick			Boiler Room	No ACBM	MISC					
	Boiler Brick Mortar			Boiler Room	No ACBM	MISC					

# Chicago Public Schools

School   Canter Middle School

Unit   46361

Building ID   4260

Address   4959 S. Blackstone

Chicago, IL, 60615

Region   04

## ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Management Planner's Review Table II

Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC)   Carnow, Conibear and Associates

600 West Van Buren St., Ste 500  
Chicago, Il, 60607

Phone:   (312) 762-2900

Fax:   (312) 782-5145

### *Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report*

HA Num	Material Description	Material Quantity	Material Units	Material Location	Asbestos Type	Material Category	Friable	Damage Quantity	Damage Units	Damage Category	Response
	Boiler Door Mortar			Boiler Room	No ACBM	MISC					
	Exterior Brick			1937- Exterior	No ACBM	MISC					
	Brick Mortar - Non-AHERA			1937- Exterior	No ACBM	MISC					
	Brick - Non-AHERA			1911- Exterior	No ACBM	MISC					
	12" x 12" White Pitted & Pinholed Ceiling Tile			Room 103	No ACBM	MISC					
	Brick Mortar - Non-AHERA			1911- Exterior	No ACBM	MISC					
	9" x 9" Black Floor Tile w/ White Streaks			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	9" x 9" Burgundy Floor Tile w/ White Streaks			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	9" x 9" Burgundy Floor Tile w/ White Specks Mastic			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	9" x 9" Black Floor Tile w/ White Streaks Mastic			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	9" x 9" Burgundy Floor Tile w/ White Streaks			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	9" x 9" Burgundy Floor Tile w/ White Specks - Mastic			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	Cinderblock			Throughout Facility	No ACBM	MISC					
	Cinderblock Mortar			Throughout Facility	No ACBM	MISC					
	12" x 12" Brown Floor Tile (12" x 12" Blue, Gray, White Floor Tile)			MATERIAL REMOVED	No ACBM	MISC					
	12" x 12" White Pitted & Pinholed Ceiling Tile Mastic			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	12" x 12" Brown Floor Tile Mastic			MATERIAL REMOVED	No ACBM	MISC					
	4" Black Vinyl Baseboard	260	LF	Rooms 101 and 102	Assumed	MISC	No		LF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	4" Black Vinyl Baseboard Adhesive	260	LF	Rooms 101 and 102	Assumed	MISC	No		LF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Counter Tops	120	SF	Rooms 101 and 102	Chrysotile	MISC	No		SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12" x 12" Gray Floor Tile			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	12" x 12" Gray Floor Tile Mastic			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	12" x 12" Light Green w/ White Specks Vinyl Floor Tile			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	12" x 12" Light Green w/ White. Specks Vinyl Floor Tile Mastic			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	12" x 12" Tan w/ Black Specks Vinyl Floor Tile			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	12" x 12" Tan w/ Black Specks Vinyl Floor Tile Mastic			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	Door Caulk - Non-AHERA			Building Exterior	Chrysotile	MISC					Follow O&M Plan
	12" x 12" Light Green/White Specks Floor Tile	10,000	SF	1st Floor Corridor, Room 100, 104,	Assumed	MISC	No		SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan

# Chicago Public Schools

**School**    Canter Middle School

**Unit**    46361

**Building ID**    4260

**Address**    4959 S. Blackstone

Chicago, IL, 60615

**Region**    04

## ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Management Planner's Review Table II

**Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC)**    Carnow, Conibear and Associates

600 West Van Buren St., Ste 500  
Chicago, Il, 60607

Phone:    (312) 762-2900

Fax:    (312) 782-5145

### *Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report*

HA Num	Material Description	Material Quantity	Material Units	Material Location	Asbestos Type	Material Category	Friable	Damage Quantity	Damage Units	Damage Category	Response
				304, 307, and 302							
	12" x 12" Light Green/White Specks Floor Tile Mastic	10,000	SF	1st Floor Corridor, Room 100, 106, 104 and 105, 305, 303, 304, 307, and 302	Assumed	MISC	No		SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Gray w/ Dark Green Strips Linoleum			Rooms 101 and 102	Assumed	MISC					
	Gray w/ Dark Green Strips Linoleum Mastic			Rooms 101 and 102	Assumed	MISC					
	Gray w/ White Strip Linoleum			Kitchen and Stage	Assumed	MISC					
	Gray w/ White Strip Linoleum Mastic			Kitchen and Stage	Assumed	MISC					
	Gray w/ White Strips Linoleum			Assembly Hall/Gym	Assumed	MISC					
	Gray w/ White Strips Linoleum Mastic			Assembly Hall/Gym	Assumed	MISC					
	Hardwood Floor	300	SF	Engineer's Office	Assumed	MISC	No		SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Concrete Floor			1st Floor Store Room	Assumed	MISC					
	Transite Lab Tops	60	SF	Science Classrooms Room 101	Assumed	MISC	No		SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12" x 12" Light Green w/ Dark Green Strips Floor Tile			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	12" x 12" Light Green w/ Dark Green Strips Floor Tile Mastic			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	12" x 12" Orange Floor Tile			Mdf Room/204	Assumed	MISC					
	12" x 12" Orange Floor Tile Mastic			Mdf Room/204	Assumed	MISC					
	12" x 12" Light Green w/ White Specks Floor Tile			3rd Floor Corridors, Rooms 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, and 307, 312A	Assumed	MISC					
	12" x 12" Light Green w/ White Specks Floor Tile Mastic	1,500	SF	3rd Floor Corridors, Rooms 303, 304, 305, and 307, 312A,	Assumed	MISC	No		SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	2' x 4' Bi -Sected White Pinholed Ceiling Tile	9,000	SF	1st, 2nd, 3rd Floor Corridors, 1st and 2nd Floor Bathrooms	Assumed	MISC	Yes		SF	7 Any remaining friable ACBM or friable suspect ACBM	Follow O&M Plan
	Terrazo Basecove and Flooring - Tan Mix	5,000	SF	Throughout Hallways and Entrances	Assumed	MISC	No		SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12" x 12" White Floor Tile	2,000	SF	1st, 2nd, 3rd Floor Corridors, 101, 103, 104, 105, 115, 201, 201, 203, 205, 206, 207, 211, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 312,	Assumed	MISC	No		SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12" x 12" Blue Floor Tile	2,000	SF	1st, 2nd, 3rd Floor Corridors and Classrooms	Assumed	MISC	No		SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
				105							
	12" x 12" Gray Floor Tile w/ White Streaks			ABATED	Abated	MISC					

# Chicago Public Schools

School   Canter Middle School

Unit    46361

Building ID   4260

Address   4959 S. Blackstone

Chicago, IL, 60615

Region   04

## ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Management Planner's Review Table II

Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC)   Carnow, Conibear and Associates

600 West Van Buren St., Ste 500  
Chicago, Il, 60607

Phone:    (312) 762-2900

Fax:   (312) 782-5145

### Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report

HA Num	Material Description	Material Quantity	Material Units	Material Location	Asbestos Type	Material Category	Friable	Damage Quantity	Damage Units	Damage Category	Response
	12" x 12" Gray Floor Tile	2,000	SF	1st, 2nd, 3rd Floor Corridors, 101, 103, 104, 105, 115, 201, 201, 203, 205, 206, 207, 211, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 312,	Assumed	MISC	No		SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12" x 12" White, Blue and Gray Floor Tile Mastic	6,000	SF	1st, 2nd, 3rd Floor Corridors, 101, 103, 104, 105, 115, 201, 201, 203, 205, 206, 207, 211, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 312,	Assumed	MISC	No		SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Pipe Insulation	1,725	LF	Boiler Room, Pump Room, and Fan Room	Assumed	TSI	Yes		LF	7 Any remaining friable ACBM or friable suspect ACBM	Follow O&M Plan
	Boiler Jacket	1,000	SF	Boiler Room	Assumed	TSI	Yes		SF	7 Any remaining friable ACBM or friable suspect ACBM	Follow O&M Plan
	12" x 12" White Blue Light Blue Floor Tile	600	SF	Room 307	Assumed	MISC	No		SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12" x 12" White Blue Light Blue Floor Tile	600	SF	Room 303	Assumed	MISC	No		SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12" x 12" White Blue Light Blue Floor Tile	600	SF	Room 304	Assumed	MISC	No		SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12" x 12" White and Gray Floor Tile	600	SF	Room 250	Assumed	MISC	No		SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12" x 12" White Blue Light Blue Floor Tile	5	SF	Room 207	Assumed	MISC	No		SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	2' x 4' Ceiling Tile w/ Pinholes	10,000	SF	Thought Classrooms	Assumed	MISC	Yes		SF	7 Any remaining friable ACBM or friable suspect ACBM	Follow O&M Plan
	12" x 12" Gray Floor Tile w/ White Streaks Mastic			ABATED	Abated	MISC					
	4" Gray Vinyl Baseboard	300	LF	Room 112	Assumed	MISC	No		LF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	4" Gray Vinyl Baseboard Adhesive	300	LF	Room 112	Assumed	MISC	No		LF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Black Science Lab Tables	17		Rooms 101, 102	Assumed	MISC	No			6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Mag-Block Pipe Insulation			1911- Boiler Room, Fan Room, Crawlspace and in Inaccessible Areas Throughout Facility	Chrysotile	TSI					
				POSSIBLY ABATED							
	Aircell Pipe Insulation			1911- Boiler Room and in Inaccessible Areas Throughout Facility	Chrysotile	TSI					
				POSSIBLY ABATED							
	Cardboard Pipe Insulation			1911- Fan Room and in Inaccessible Areas Throughout Facility	Chrysotile	TSI					
	Valve Packing Material, Mesh Cloth			ABATED	Abated	TSI					

# Chicago Public Schools

School    Canter Middle School

Unit    46361

Building ID    4260

Address    4959 S. Blackstone

Chicago, IL, 60615

Region    04

## ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Management Planner's Review Table II

Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC)    Carnow, Conibear and Associates

600 West Van Buren St., Ste 500  
Chicago, Il, 60607

Phone:    (312) 762-2900

Fax:    (312) 782-5145

### *Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report*

HA Num	Material Description	Material Quantity	Material Units	Material Location	Asbestos Type	Material Category	Friable	Damage Quantity	Damage Units	Damage Category	Response
	Mag-Block Pipe Insulation			ABATED	Abated	TSI					
	Aircell Pipe Insulation			ABATED	Abated	TSI					
	Cardboard Pipe Insulation			ABATED	Abated	TSI					
	Cementitious Joint Insulation on Fiberglass			Throughout Facility	No ACBM	MISC					
	Mag-Block Pipe Insulation Elbows			ABATED	Abated	TSI					
	Mag-Block Pipe Insulation Elbows	260	LF	1937- Fan Room, Pump Room, Crawlspace	Chrysotile	TSI	Yes		LF	7 Any remaining friable ACBM or friable suspect ACBM	Follow O&M Plan
	Aircell Pipe Insulation Elbows			ABATED	Abated	TSI					
	Aircell Pipe Insulation Elbows			ABATED	Abated	TSI					
	Mag-Block Boiler Jacket Insulation			ABATED	Abated	TSI					
	Cardboard Pipe Joint Insulation			ABATED	Abated	TSI					
	Aircell Duct Insulation			ABATED	Abated	TSI					
	Insulated Vaulted Door	30	SF	Room 102	Assumed	MISC	No		SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12" x 12" White Blue Light Blue Floor Tile	600	SF	Room 301	Assumed	MISC	No		SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan

# Chicago Public Schools

**School**    Canter Middle School

**Unit**    46361

**Building ID**    4260

**Address**    4959 S. Blackstone

Chicago, IL, 60615

**Region**    04

## ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Management Planner's Review Table II

**Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC)**    Carnow, Conibear and Associates

600 West Van Buren St., Ste 500  
Chicago, Il, 60607

Phone:    (312) 762-2900

Fax:    (312) 782-5145

*Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report*

Review Date	04/23/2025
Manager Planner Name	Mike Serio
100-18488	5/15/2026
Manager IDPH License No/Expiration	

HA Number	Management Comments
	If identified, continue O&M.

# APPENDIX A

## Assessment Sheets, Drawings and Photos

---



# Chicago Public Schools

Carnow, Conibear and Associates

**2025 AHERA REINSPECTION**

## Inspector Assessment Form (REASSESSMENT)

LEA NAME: **Chicago Public Schools** UNIT NUMBER: BUIDLING ID:  
CITY/STATE: **Chicago, Illinois** AHERA INSPECTOR:  
SCHOOL NAME: INSPECTION DATE:  
ADDRESS: IDPH LICENSE NO:

---

## INFORMATION FROM PREVIOUS INSPECTION

HOMOGENEOUS AREA:

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION:

HISTORICAL AHERA DAMAGE CATEGORY **ACBM with the potential for damage**

HISTORICAL DAMAGE REASON: **Deterioration**

HISTORICAL RESPONSE ACTION: **Follow O&M Plan**

ASBESTOS TYPE: FRIABLE:

---

## RESULTS OF REINSPECTION AND REASSESSMENT

This homogeneous area was reinspected and reassessed in accordance with Section 763.85 and 763.88 of AHERA and it's condition HAS NOT CHANGED when compared to the conditions of the last AHERA reinspection.

The current AHERA DAMAGE CATEGORY is determined to be . **Any remaining friable ACBM or friable suspect ACBM**

DAMAGE REASON:

DISTURBANCE POTENTIAL:

MATERIAL LOCATION:

MATERIAL QUANTITY:

MATERIAL UNITS:

DAMAGE QUANTITY:

DAMAGE UNITS:

COMMENTS:

Inspector's Signature:



Date: **03/20/2025**

# Chicago Public Schools

Carnow, Conibear and Associates

**2025 AHERA REINSPECTION**

## Management Planner Review Form

LEA NAME: **Chicago Public Schools** UNIT NUMBER: BUIDLING ID:  
CITY/STATE: **Chicago, Illinois** MANAGEMENT PLANNER:  
SCHOOL NAME: REVIEW DATE:  
ADDRESS: IDPH LICENSE NO:

---

HOMOGENEOUS AREA:

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION:

MATERIAL LOCATION:

MATERIAL QUANTITY:

MATERIAL UNITS:

DAMAGE QUANTITY:

DAMAGE UNITS:

---

In accordance with Sections 763.88 and 763.90 of the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA) the LEA must select a management planner to review the results of the inspection and assessment and recommend appropriate response actions. The original inspection of the above identified homogeneous area has been reviewed in accordance with Sections 763.88 and 763.90 with the following recommendations.

The RESPONSE ACTION recommendation is:

**Follow O&M Plan**

Comments:

Management Planner's Signature:



Date: **04/23/2025**

# Chicago Public Schools

Carnow, Conibear and Associates

**2025 AHERA REINSPECTION**

## Inspector Assessment Form (New Homogeneous Area)

LEA NAME: **Chicago Public Schools** UNIT NUMBER: BUILDING ID:  
CITY/STATE: **Chicago, Illinois** AHERA INSPECTOR:  
SCHOOL NAME: INSPECTION DATE:  
ADDRESS: IDPH LICENSE NO:

---

## INFORMATION FROM CURRENT INSPECTION

HOMOGENEOUS AREA:

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION:

MATERIAL LOCATION:

MATERIAL QUANTITY:

MATERIAL UNITS:

MATERIAL CATEGORY:

FRIABLE:

ASBESTOS TYPE:

DISTURBANCE POTENTIAL:

CONDITION:

**No Damage**

AHERA DAMAGE CATEGORY:

**Any remaining friable ACBM or friable suspect ACBM**

ACCESSIBILITY:

**Within Reach**

DAMAGE REASON:

DAMAGE REASON:

DAMAGE REASON:

DAMAGE QUANTITY:

DAMAGE UNITS:

COMMENTS:

Inspector's Signature:



Date: **03/20/2025**

# Chicago Public Schools

Carnow, Conibear and Associates

**2025 AHERA REINSPECTION**

## Management Planner Review Form

LEA NAME: **Chicago Public Schools** UNIT NUMBER: BUILDING ID:

CITY/STATE: **Chicago, Illinois** MANAGEMENT PLANNER:

SCHOOL NAME: REVIEW DATE:

ADDRESS: IDPH LICENSE NO:

HOMOGENEOUS AREA:

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION:

MATERIAL LOCATION:

MATERIAL QUANTITY: MATERIAL UNITS:

DAMAGE QUANTITY: DAMAGE UNITS:

---

In accordance with Sections 763.88 and 763.90 of the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA) the LEA must select a management planner to review the results of the inspection and assessment and recommend appropriate response actions. The original inspection of the above identified homogeneous area has been reviewed in accordance with Sections 763.88 and 763.90 with the following recommendations.

The RESPONSE ACTION recommendation is:

**Follow O&M Plan**

COMMENTS:

Management Planner's Signature:



Date: **04/23/2025**

# APPENDIX B

## Inspector and Management Planner Licenses

---

































































































































































































































































































































































































































































































# APPENDIX C

## Laboratory Accreditations

---

# APPENDIX D

## Laboratory Results

---

# APPENDIX E

## Chain of Custody Forms

---

## Three-Year Reinspection Key to Terms

	CODE	KEY
<b>MATERIAL</b>		
	ACBM	Asbestos Containing Building Materials
<b>MATERIAL CATEGORY</b>		
	MISC	Miscellaneous
	SURF	Surfacing
	TSI	Thermal System Insulation
<b>MATERIAL UNITS</b>		
	LF	Linear Feet
	SF	Square Feet
	CF	Cubic Feet
<b>DAMAGE TYPE</b>		
	Loc	Localized
	Dist	Distributed